#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2015 First Round June 10, 2015

Project Number CA-15-022

**Project Name** Parlier Garden Apartments

Site Address: 1105 Tulare Street

Parlier, CA 93648 County: Fresno

Census Tract: 85.020

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$262,369\$1,023,543Recommended:\$262,369\$1,023,543

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: Parlier Garden (Preservation), LP

Contact: Michael Boettger

Address: 2020 W. Kettleman Lane

Lodi, CA 95242

Phone: (209) 370-1559 Fax: (209) 370-1572

Email: mboettger@themichaelsorg.com

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Parlier Garden-Michaels, LLC

Community Revitalization and Development Corp.

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Company(ies): The Michaels Development Company

Community Revitalization and Development Corp.

Developer: The Michaels Development Company I, L.P.
Investor/Consultant: Prestige Affordable Housing Equity Partners
Management Agent(s): Interstate Realty Management Company

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: Rehabilitation-Only

Total # Residential Buildings: 5
Total # of Units: 41

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 40 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: USDA RHS 515 & USDA RHS 521 Rental Subsidy (40 units / 100%)

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 4 10 % 50% AMI (Rural): 14 35 % 55% AMI (Rural): 16 40 %

## Information

Set-Aside: Rural Housing Type: Seniors Geographic Area: N/A

TCAC Project Analyst: Jack Waegell

## **Unit Mix**

40 1-Bedroom Units
1 2-Bedroom Units
41 Total Units

Unit Type & Number	2014 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2014 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Rent (including utilities)	
4 1 Bedroom	30%	30%	\$307	
14 1 Bedroom	50%	50%	\$513	
16 1 Bedroom	55%	55%	\$564	
6 1 Bedroom	60%	60%	\$615	
1 2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0	

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Land and Acquisition	\$1,573,907
Construction Costs	\$0
Rehabilitation Costs	\$2,390,653
Construction Contingency	\$239,065
Relocation	\$50,000
Architectural/Engineering	\$60,000
Construction Interest, Perm Financing	\$173,223
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$95,500
Reserves	\$104,512
Other Costs	\$190,219
Developer Fee	\$445,020
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$5,322,099

# **Project Financing**

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$5,322,099 Estimated Residential Project Cost: \$5,322,099

# Residential

Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$87 Per Unit Cost: \$129,807

# **Construction Financing**

# SourceAmountContinental Mortgage Corporation\$2,146,116USDA RHS 515\$1,573,907Existing Reserves\$44,656Deferred Developer Fee\$445,020Tax Credit Equity\$1,112,399

# **Permanent Financing**

	O		
Source	Amount		
Bonneville - RHS 538	\$525,251		
USDA RHS 515	\$1,573,907		
Existing Reserves	\$44,656		
Tax Credit Equity	\$3,178,285		
TOTAL	\$5,322,099		

# **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

\$3,411,821
No
100.00%
\$3,411,821
7.69%
\$262,369
\$1,023,543
\$445,020
g Equity Partners
\$0.95000
\$0.67001

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

## **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$3,411,821 Actual Eligible Basis: \$3,411,821 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$6,613,360 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$6,613,360

Adjustments to Basis Limit: None.

## **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Seniors Second: 48.931%

## **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.69% of the qualified basis. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

**Special Issues/Other Significant Information:** This project is a resyndication of an existing low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC) project, CA-93-075.

The project has an existing USDA RHS 515 loan and RHS 521 rental subsidy on all 40 affordable units, which will be assumed as part of this rehabilitation project.

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

## **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, the City of Parlier, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$262,369

State Tax Credits/Total \$1,023,543

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions:** None.

<b>D</b> : 4 G 4	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	Points	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within ½ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of public library	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	5	5	5
Senior project within ½ mile of daily operated senior center/facility	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of medical clinic or hospital	3	3	3
Within 1 mile of a pharmacy	1	1	1
Service Amenities	10	10	10
SENIOR HOUSING TYPE			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 84 hrs/yr instruction	7	7	7
Health & wellness services and programs, minimum 60 hrs per 100 bdrms	3	3	3
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
REHABILITATION			
Rehabilitate to improve energy efficiency (change in HERS II rating): 30%	10	10	10
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.